

Computer Aided Design of Plate Heat Exchangers

Olga Arsenyeva^a, Leonid Tovazhnyansky^a, Petro Kapustenko^a, Gena Khavin^b

^aNational Technical University “Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute”,
21 Frunze Str., 61002, Kharkiv, Ukraine, kap@kpi.kharkov.ua

^bAO SODRUGESTVO-T, 2 Krsnoznamenny Per., 61002, Kharkiv, Ukraine,
sodrut@gmail.com

Abstract

The computer aided design of plate heat exchanger with mixed grouping of plates is considered. It is formulated as the mathematical problem of finding the minimal value for implicit nonlinear discrete/continues objective function with inequality constraints. The optimizing variables include the number of passes for both streams, the numbers of plates with different corrugation geometries in each pass, the plate type and its size. To estimate the value of objective function in a space of optimizing variables the mathematical model of plate heat exchanger is developed. To account for thermal and hydraulic performance of channels between plates with different geometrical forms of corrugations, the exponents and coefficients in formulas for heat transfer coefficients and friction factors calculation are used as model parameters. The procedure and software for numerical experiment to identify model parameters by comparing the calculation results with those obtained with free available in web computer programs of plate manufacturers is developed. The sets of such parameters are obtained for a number of industrially manufactured plates. The described approach is implemented as software for plate heat exchangers calculation.

Keywords: Plate Heat Exchanger, Design, Mathematical Model, Model Parameters

1. Introduction

Plate heat exchangers (PHEs) are one of the most efficient types of heat transfer equipment. The principles of their construction and design methods are sufficiently well described elsewhere, see e.g. Hesselgreaves (2001), Wang, Sunden and Manglik (2007), Tovazhnyansky et al (2004). This equipment is much more compact and requires much less material for heat transfer surface production, much smaller footprint, than conventional shell and tubes units. PHEs have a number of advantages over shell and tube heat exchangers, such as compactness, low total cost, less fouling, flexibility in changing the heat transfer surface area, accessibility. Due to differences in construction principles from conventional shell and tube heat exchangers, PHEs require substantially different methods of thermal and hydraulic design. Such methods should be based on accurate enough mathematical models.

2. Mathematical model of PHE

The PHE consists of a set of corrugated heat transfer plates clamped together between fixed and moving frame plates and tightened by tightening bolts, see e.g Hesselgreaves (2001). The plates are equipped with the system of sealing gaskets, which are also separate the streams and organizing their distribution over the inter plate channels. In multi-pass PHE plates are arranged in such way, that they are forming groups of parallel channels. Such group is corresponding to one pass and the stream is going consequently

through the passes. The temperature distributions in passes are different, and in different groups of channels both counter-current and co-current flows may occur.

The mathematical model of PHE was presented by Arsenyeva et al.(2009). The PHE is regarded as a system of one-pass blocks of plates. The conditions for all channels in one such block are equal. The total number of blocks is $n_b = X_1 X_2$ and the number of heat transfer units in one block, counted for hot stream:

$$NTU_b = U_b \cdot F_b \cdot X_2 / (G_1 c_1) \quad (1)$$

where U_b – overall heat transfer coefficient in block, $W/(m^2K)$; G_1 - mass flow rate of hot stream, kg/s ; c_1 – specific heat of hot stream, $J/(kg \cdot K)$; X_1 and X_2 – the number of passes for hot and cold stream, respectively.

When $G_1 c_1 / X_2 < G_2 c_2 / X_1$ block heat exchange effectiveness ε_b for counter current flow:

$$\varepsilon_b = [1 - \exp(NTU_b \cdot R_b - NTU_b)] / [1 - R_b \cdot \exp(NTU_b \cdot R_b - NTU_b)] \quad (2)$$

where $R_b = G_1 \cdot c_1 \cdot X_1 / (G_2 \cdot c_2 \cdot X_2)$ - the ratio of heat capacities of streams going through block; G_2 and c_2 mass flow rate $[kg/s]$ and specific heat $[J/(kg \cdot K)]$ of cold stream.

If $R_b = 1$, then $\varepsilon_b = NTU_b / (1 + NTU_b)$.

In case of co-current flow directions

$$\varepsilon_b = [1 - \exp(-NTU_b \cdot R_b - NTU_b)] / (1 + R_b) \quad (3)$$

On the other hand the heat exchange effectiveness of block i : $\varepsilon_{bi} = \delta t_{1i} / \Delta t_i$,

where δt_{1i} - temperature drop in block i ; Δt_i - the temperature difference of streams

entering block i . The temperature change of cold stream: $\delta t_{2i} = \delta t_{1i} \cdot R_b$

These relations can be regarded as mathematical model of block, which describes the dependence of temperature changes from characterising block values of F_b and U_b .

For every block we can write the equation which describes the link of temperature change in this block to temperature changes in all other blocks of PHE.

For any number of passes such system presented in matrix form:

$$[Z][\delta t_i] = [\varepsilon_{bi} \Delta], \quad (4)$$

where $[\delta t_i]$ - vector-column of temperature drops in blocks; $[\varepsilon_{bi} \Delta]$ - vector-column of

the right hand parts of the system; $[Z]$ - matrix of system coefficients.

The numerical solution of linear algebraic equations system (7) easily made on PC. After that the total temperatures change in PHE calculated as:

$$\delta t_{\Sigma 1} = \sum_{i=1}^{X_1} \left(\frac{1}{X_1} \sum_{ii=1}^{X_2} \delta t_{(i-1)X_2+ii} \right); \quad \delta t_{\Sigma 2} = \frac{(G_1 c_1)}{(G_2 c_2)} \delta t_{\Sigma 1}. \quad (5)$$

The total heat load of PHE:

$$Q = \delta t_{\Sigma 1} \cdot G_1 \cdot c_1, \quad (6)$$

This system should be accompanied by equations for calculation of overall heat transfer coefficient U , $W/(m^2K)$, as below.

$$U = 1 / \left(1/\alpha_1 + 1/\alpha_2 + \delta_w/\lambda_w + R_f \right) \quad (7)$$

Computer Aided Design of Plate Heat Exchangers

where α_1, α_2 - film heat transfer coefficients for hot and cold streams, respectively, W/(m²K); δ_w - the wall thickness, m; λ_w - heat conductivity of the wall material, W/(mK); $R_f = R_{f1} + R_{f2}$ - the sum of fouling thermal resistances for streams, m²K/W.

For plate heat exchangers the film heat transfer coefficients are usually calculated by empirical correlations:

$$Nu = f(Re, Pr) = A \cdot Re^n \cdot Pr^{0.4} (\mu / \mu_w)^{0.14} \quad (8)$$

Here μ and μ_w dynamic viscosity at stream and at wall temperatures, respectively;

Nusselt number is:

$$Nu = \alpha \cdot d_e / \lambda,$$

The streams velocities are calculated as

$$w = g / (f_{ch} \rho)$$

Where g is flowrate of stream through one channel, kg/s.

The pressure drop in one PHE channel

$$\Delta p = \zeta \cdot (L_p / d_e) \cdot \rho \cdot w^2 / 2, \quad (9)$$

where L_p - effective plate length; ζ - friction factor, which is usually determined by empirical correlations of following form:

$$\zeta = B / Re^m \quad (10)$$

For multi pass PHE the pressure drop in one pass multiplied by number of passes X.

In modern PHEs plates of one type are usually made with two different corrugation angles, that can form three different channels, when assembled in PHE. Plates of H type have corrugations with bigger angles (about 60°) that form the H channels with higher efficiency of heat transfer and hydraulic resistance. Plates of L type have a lower angle (about 30°) and form the L channels with lower heat transfer and hydraulic resistance. Combined, these plates form channels MH or ML with intermediate characteristics. Such principle of design enables to change thermal and hydraulic performance of plates pack with the level of discreteness equal to one plate in a pack.

In one PHE two groups of channels are usually used. One is of higher hydraulic resistance and heat transfer (x-channel), another of lower characteristics (y-channel). When the stream is going through set of such channels, the temperature changes in different channels are differ. After mixing in collector part of PHE block, the temperature is determined by heat balance. Then the heat exchange effectiveness of plates block with different channels:

$$\varepsilon_b = (g_x \cdot n_x \cdot \varepsilon_x + g_y \cdot n_y \cdot \varepsilon_y) / (g_x \cdot n_x + g_y \cdot n_y), \quad (11)$$

where n_x and n_y are the numbers of x and y channels in a block of plates, respectively;

$g_{x,y} = w_{x,y} \cdot \rho \cdot f_{ch}$ - the mass flow rates through one channel of type x or y. These

flow rates should satisfy equation $\Delta p_x = \Delta p_y$ and material balance:

$$g_x \cdot n_x + g_y \cdot n_y = G_b, \quad (12)$$

where G_b - flow rate of the stream through the block of plates.

The principle of mixing plates in one heat exchanger gives the best results with symmetrical arrangement of passes ($X_1=X_2$) and G_b is equal to total flow rate of respective stream. The unsymmetrical arrangement $X_1 \neq X_2$ is usually used when all channels are the same (any of the three available types).

When the numbers of channels are determined, the numbers of plates calculated as:

$$N_{pl} = \sum_{i=1}^{X_1} (n_{x1i} + n_{y1i}) + \sum_{j=1}^{X_2} (n_{x2i} + n_{y2i}) + 1 \quad (13)$$

The total heat transfer area of PHE (two end plates not included), m²:

$$F_{PHE} = (N_{pl} - 2) \cdot F_{pl}, \quad (14)$$

where F_{pl} - heat transfer area of one plate, m².

The above algebraic equations (1)-(14) describe the relationship between variables which characterize PHE and heat transfer process in it. They can be regarded as a mathematical model of PHE, which solution enables to calculate pressure and temperature change of streams entering the heat exchanger. It is a problem of PHE rating (analysis).

The problem of PHE design (synthesis) require to find its characteristics (such as plate type, numbers of passes, numbers of plates with different corrugations) which will in the best way satisfy the required process conditions. Here the optimal design with pressure drop specification is considered, in a sense as described by Wang and Sunden (2003). The objective function is total heat transfer area of PHE with conditions that specified heat load Q^0 and allowable pressure drops for both streams $\Delta p_1^0, \Delta p_2^0$ must be satisfied. These conditions can be regarded as partial inequality constraints:

$$Q \geq Q^0; \quad \Delta p_1 \leq \Delta p_1^0; \quad \Delta p_2 \leq \Delta p_2^0 \quad (15)$$

Analysis of relations (1) – (15) lets to conclude, that we have the mathematical problem of finding the minimal value for implicit nonlinear discrete/continues objective function with inequality constraints. It does not permit analytical solution without considerable simplifications. To solve it by numerical methods, the software is developed for IBM compatible PC. The mathematical model contains some parameters, namely coefficients and powers in empirical correlations that are not easily available.

3. Identification of mathematical model parameters

As a rule the empirical correlations for design of industrially manufactured PHEs are obtained during tests on such heat exchangers at specially developed test rigs. Such tests are made for every type of new developed plates and inter plate channels. The results are property of manufacturing company and usually not published.

Based on described above mathematical model it was developed the technique of numerical experiment that enables to identify model parameters by comparison with results obtained for the same conditions with the use of PHE calculation software, which is now available in internet for most of PHE manufacturers. The results for some plates manufactured by Alfa Laval presented in Table 1. The geometrical parameters of plates, for which correlations were acquired are given in Table 2.

The comparison of results obtained with our software to those of Alfa Laval free available software has shown good agreement (discrepancies not more then 4% on surface area). We should note, that obtained correlations and developed software can be used only for preliminary calculations, when optimizing PHEs or heat exchanger network. The final calculations when ordering the PHE must be performed by its manufacturer.

Table 1. Parameters in correlations for some Alfa Laval PHEs (Re>250)

Plate type	Channel type	A	n	Re	B	m	Re	B	m
M3	H	0.265	0.7	<520	33.0	0.25	≥520	10.7	0.07
	L	0.12	0.7	<1000	18.8	0.33	≥1000	8.8	0.22
	ML/MH ¹	0.18	0.7	<1000	44.0	0.4	≥1000	5.1	0.10
M6	H	0.25	0.7	<1250	10.0	0.2	≥1250	2.4	0.0
	L	0.12	0.7	<1500	5.1	0.3	≥1500	1.7	0.15
	ML/MH	0.165	0.7	<930	9.3	0.3	≥930	2.72	0.12
M6M	H	0.27	0.7	<1300	11.7	0.13	≥1300	4.55	0.0
	L	0.11	0.71	<2200	4.23	0.23	≥2200	1.88	0.12
	ML/MH	0.14	0.73	<2100	5.61	0.16	≥2100	1.41	0.0
M10B	H	0.24	0.7	<2000	11.1	0.15	≥2000	3.5	0.0
	L	0.11	0.7	<1500	12	0.36	≥1500	2.42	0.14
	ML/MH	0.12	0.74	<2700	6.2	0.2	≥2700	1.9	0.05
M15B	H	0.26	0.7	<2000	5.84	0.05	≥2000	5.84	0.05
	L	0.085	0.74	<2900	5.2	0.28	≥2900	1.57	0.13
	ML/MH	0.13	0.74	<3500	4.3	0.15	≥3500	1.25	0.0

Table 2. Geometrical parameters for some Alfa Laval PHE plates

Plate type	δ , mm	d_e , mm	b , mm	F_{pl} , m ²	$D_{connection}$, mm	$f_{ch} \cdot 10^3$, m ²	L_p , mm
M3	2.4	4.8	100	0.032	36	0.240	320
M6	2.0	4.0	216	0.15	50	0.432	694
M6M	3.0	6.0	210	0.14	50	0.630	666
M10B	2.5	5.0	334	0.24	100	0.835	719
M15B	2.5	5.0	449	0.62	150	1.123	1381

4. Case study

It is required to heat 5 m³/h of distillery wash fluid from 28 to 90 °C by hot water coming with temperature 95 °C and flow rate 15 m³/h. The pressure of both fluids is 5 bar. Allowable pressure drop for hot stream 1.5 bar. For cold stream 1 bar. The properties of wash fluid are taken constant as follows: density – 978,4 kg/m³; heat capacity – 3,18 kJ/(kg·K); conductivity – 0.66 W/(kg·m). Dynamic viscosity at temperatures $t=25; 60; 90^\circ C$ is taken as $\mu=19,5; 16,6; 9 \text{ cP}$.

The results of calculations for different passes numbers X_1 and X_2 and optimal for those passes plates arrangements are presented in Table 3. The analysis show that the global optimum (38 plates) is achieved at $X_1=2$ and $X_2=4$ with all medium channels (19 H and 19 L plates in PHE). The closest other option (41 plates) is at $X_1=X_2=2$ with mixed

¹ In our study we neglected by small differences in ML and MH channels parameters

Table 3. The influence of passes and plate arrangement on number of plates in M6M PHE

X ₂	X ₁			
	1	2	3	4
1	<u>56 plates</u> 1*28H / 1*27H	<u>235 plates</u> 2*59H/1*116H	<u>157 plates</u> 3*26H/1*78H	<u>184 plates</u> 4*23H/1*91H
2	<u>72 plates</u> 1*35H / 2*18H	<u>41 plates</u> 2*(7H+3*ML)/ 2*(7H+3*MH)	<u>60 plates</u> 3*10MH / 2*14ML	<u>64 plates</u> 4*8L / 1*15L+1*16L
3	<u>44 plates</u> 1*21H / 2*7H+1*8H	<u>49 plates</u> 2*12MH / 3*8ML	<u>43 plates</u> 3*(4MH+3*L)/ 3*(4ML+3*L)	<u>50 plates</u> 3*6L+1*7L / 3*8L
4	<u>63 plates</u> 1*31MH / 1*7ML+3*8ML	<u>38 plates</u> 2*9MH / 1*4ML+3*5ML	<u>44 plates</u> 3*7L / 2*5L+2*6L	<u>50 plates</u> 3*6L+1*7L / 4*6L

channel arrangement in one pass. If we would have only one plate type in PHE, the minimal number of plates would be 44 for both H and L plates, or 15% higher than with mixed channels.

5. Conclusions

The algorithm and software for computer aided design of multi-pass PHE assembled with plates of different corrugation patterns is developed. The model parameters corresponding to some industrially manufactured plates are obtained. The examples of calculation results for case study show the possibility with such method to obtain optimal solutions with exact satisfaction of constraints for total heat load and pressure drop of one stream. It gives the considerable reduction in heat transfer surface area of PHE.

6. Acknowledgements

The financial support of EC Project ECOPHOS (contract № INCO-CT-2005-013359) is sincerely acknowledged.

References

- O. Arsenyeva, L. Tovazhnyansky, P. Kapustenko, G. Khavin, 2009, Mathematical Modelling and Optimal Design of Plate-and-Frame Heat Exchangers. Chemical Engineering Transactions, 18: 791-796.
- A. Gogenko, O. Anipko, O. Arsenyeva, P. Kapustenko, 2007, Accounting for fouling in plate heat exchanger design. Chemical Engineering Transactions, 12: 207-213.
- J. Hesselgreaves, 2001, Compact Heat Exchangers. Selection, Design and Operation. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- L. Tovazhnyansky, P. Kapustenko, G. Khavin, O. Arsenyeva., 2004, PHEs in Industry. NTU KhPI, Kharkiv (in Russian).
- L. Wang, B. Sunden, R. Manglik, 2007, PHEs. Design, Applications and Performance. WIT Press, Southampton.
- L. Wang, B. Sunden, 2003, Optimal design of PHEs with and without pressure drop specifications. Applied Thermal Engineering. 23, 295-311.